

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/705,411	11/03/2000	Michael Nowak	Y2K.0090	6773
7590 02/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Mathew R P Perrone Jr			HEWITT, JAMES M	
210 South Main Street Algonquin, IL 60102-2639			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Angonquin, 15 00102 2005			3679	
		DATE MAIL ED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11				
- 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/705,411	NOWAK, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James M Hewitt	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2003.					
,	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In view of the appeal brief filed on 1/31/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ipsen (US 5,487,568).

Application/Control Number: 09/705,411 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

With particular reference to Figures 1 and 2, Bulka discloses an advertising device adapted for mounting on a vehicle, the device comprising a frame (11) and a center section (15) forming the advertising device; the device being adapted for securing to a license plate area of a vehicle; the frame being securable to the vehicle; the frame and the center section being adapted to have advertising thereon; and the frame being separable from the center section.

Note the following definition of "advertisement":

ad-ver-tise-ment (àd 'ver-tiz1ment, àd-vûr1tîs-, -tîz-) noun

1. The act of advertising.

2. A notice, such as a poster, newspaper display, or paid announcement in the electronic media, designed to attract public attention or patronage. 1

With respect to claim 2, wherein the frame has at least one slit separating the center portion from the frame; the frame having at least one tab connecting the center portion to the frame; the at least one tab being adapted for severing the center portion from the frame.

The periphery of the label (15) is die-cut through the label and the first face (12) of the panel (11) thus defining the shape of the label and permitting releasable attachment to the panel. As is known in the art, die-cutting or scoring produces a series of slits and tabs.

¹The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

With respect to claim 3, wherein the tab facilitates separation of the center portion from the frame; the frame including at least one aperture (20).

With respect to claim 4, wherein the device is generally rectangular.

With respect to claims 5 and 6, refer to the rejections of claims 3 and 4.

With respect to claims 1-6, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchinson*, 69 USPQ 138.

It has also been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex* parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

It has has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulka (US 5,343,647).

With respect to claims 7-12, Bulka teaches all the limitations thereof, as described above in the rejections of claims 1-6, except that his advertising device is disposed on a vehicle. As claim 7 is presented as a Jepson-type claim, the preamble of claim 7, i.e. a vehicle having advertising thereon, is admitted prior art. Refer to MPEP 2129. And as Bulka's label is an advertising device, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ Bulka's label on a vehicle, for instance, in order to display the price of the vehicle.

Bulka's label or tag is described as for use with merchanise to display the price of the merchandise. A vehicle is considered merchandise.

Note the following definition of "merchandise":

mer·chan·dise (mûr¹chen-dìz´, -dìs´) noun

Goods bought and sold in business; commercial wares.²

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

²The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hewitt whose telephone number is 703-305-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 930am-600pm.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Hewitt

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3600